Inhibition of Intramolecular Reactions of (+)-δ-Cadinol

O. Yu. Krasnoslobodtseva^a, F. A. Valeev^a, O. V. Shitikova^a, and G. A. Tolstikov^b

^a Institute of Organic Chemistry, Ufa Research Center, Russian Academy of Sciences, pr. Oktyabrya 71, Ufa, 450054 Bashkortostan, Russia e-mail: chemorg@anrb.ru

^b Vorozhtsov Novosibirsk Institute of Organic Chemistry, Siberian Division, Russian Academy of Sciences, pr. Akademika Lavrent'eva 9, Novosibirsk, 630090 Russia e-mail: gtolstik@nioch.nsc.ru

Received July 14, 2005

Abstract—(+)- δ -Cadinol is smoothly acetylated with isopropenyl acetate to form the corresponding acetate which is difficultly hydrolyzable in the presence of bases; unlike initial (+)- δ -cadinol, the acetylation product gives no 1,4- and 1,5-epoxy derivatives as by-products in the allylic oxidation and epoxidation reactions.

DOI: 10.1134/S1070428006090119

It is known that functionalization of the allyl fragment in (+)- δ -cadinol (I) is accompanied by side formation of 1,4- and 1,5-epoxy derivatives [1, 2]. Presumably, these side reactions can be avoided by blocking the hydroxy group in (+)- δ -cadinol, which may be difficult taking into account that this group is attached to a tertiary carbon atom. An effective technique for protection of hydroxy group via conversion into trimethylsilyl ether is unsuitable, for the latter readily undergoes hydrolysis under the conditions of such functionalizations.

We tried to solve the above problem by protecting the tertiary hydroxy group in (+)-δ-cadinol via treatment with isopropenyl acetate. The efficiency of the acetylation strongly depended on the purity of initial isopropenyl acetate. The reaction with commercial isopropenyl acetate gave acetate II in 24 h and was accompanied by migration of the double C=C bond with formation of isomer III as by-product (Scheme 1). By treatment of compound I with freshly prepared isopropenyl acetate [3] we succeeded in obtaining acetate II with quantitative yield in 15 min. We then examined the ability of the acetate moiety in II to undergo hydrolysis, migration, or elimination. For this purpose, compound II was treated with a solution of sodium hydroxide in aqueous ethanol. Under these conditions, acetate II remained unchanged for a few hours; after 15 h, it was hydrolyzed by 50%; and the conversion of II was 30% on treatment with methanolic sodium methoxide. Presumably, the acetoxy group in molecule

II is stabilized due to its position at a tertiary carbon atom, as well as due to *cis*-junction of the six-membered rings.

Allylic oxidation of acetate II with the system SeO₂—AcOH/Ac₂O, followed by treatment of the resulting mixture of epimeric acetates and alcohols [4, 5] with a solution of sodium hydroxide in aqueous ethanol, resulted in the formation of a mixture of epimeric hydroxy acetates VI and VII (Scheme 2). 1,4-Epoxy derivative X was obtained in 62% yield only when mixture VI/VII was heated in boiling benzene in the presence of *p*-toluenesulfonic acid [1]. Diastereoisomeric epoxy derivatives VIII and IX were synthesized by oxidation of acetate II at the double bond with *m*-chloroperoxybenzoic acid. In no case intramolecular oxa cyclization products were detected.

We can conclude that effective protection of the tertiary hydroxy group in (+)- δ -cadinol by acetylation with isopropenyl acetate makes it possible to avoid side intramolecular processes typical of functionalization of parent (+)- δ -cadinol.

EXPERIMENTAL

The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AM-300 spectrometer at 300 MHz for ¹H and 75.47 MHz for ¹³C. The melting points were measured on a Kofler S 30A/G melting point apparatus (DDR). Analytical thin-layer chromatography was performed on Sorbfil PTSKh-AF-A plates (manufactured by

Me

Sorbpolimer Ltd., Krasnodar). The optical rotations were measured on a Perkin–Elmer 141 polarimeter.

(+)- δ -Cadinol with mp 137.8°C and $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +100.3$ ° $(c = 1.0, CHCl_3)$ was used.

Acetylation of (+)-\delta-cadinol. a. A catalytic amount of p-toluenesulfonic acid was added to a solution of 0.100 g (0.45 mmol) of (+)- δ -cadinol (I) in 1 ml of isopropenyl acetate (from Lancaster), the mixture was stirred for 24 h, excess isopropenyl acetate was distilled off, and the residue was subjected to chromatography on silica gel to isolate 0.077 g (65%) of acetoxy derivative II and 0.27 g (23%) of by-product III.

b. A catalytic amount of p-toluenesulfonic acid was added to a solution of 0.100 g (0.45 mmol) of (+)- δ cadinol (I) in 1 ml of freshly prepared isopropenyl acetate [3], the mixture was stirred for 15 min, excess isopropenyl acetate was distilled off, and the residue

was subjected to chromatography on silica gel to isolate 0.108 g (90.7%) of compound II.

(1R,4R,4aS,8aR)-4-Isopropyl-1,6-dimethyl-1,2,3,-4,4a,7,8,8a-octahydronaphthalen-1-vl acetate (II). $R_{\rm f}$ 0.65 (hexane–EtOAc, 10:1), $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{26} = +56.20^{\circ}$ (c = 1.0, CH₃Cl). ¹H NMR spectrum (CDCl₃), δ, ppm: 0.80 d (3H, CH₃, J = 6.9 Hz), 0.88 d (3H, CH₃, J =6.9 Hz), 1.10 m (1H, CH), 1.3–1.53 m (5H, CH, CH₂), 1.58 s (3H, CH₃), 1.63 s (3H, CH₃), 1.95 m (5H, CH, CH₂), 1.98 s (3H, CH₃), 2.33 m (1H, CH), 5.50 d (1H, 7-H, J = 5.5 Hz). ¹³C NMR spectrum (CDCl₃), $\delta_{\rm C}$, ppm: $15.28 \text{ (CH}_3)$, $19.21 \text{ (C}^3)$, $20.77 \text{ (C}^7)$, $21.59 \text{ (CH}_3)$, 22.43 (1-CH₃), 23.10 (CH₃), 23.57 (6-CH₃), 26.57 (CMe_2) , 31.06 (C^8) , 32.65 (C^2) , 36.36 (C^{4a}) , 44.08 (C^4) , 85.27 (C^1) , 124.3 (C^5) , 134.29 (C^6) , 170.21 (COCH₃). Found, %: C 77.23; H 10.64. C₁₇H₂₈O₂. Calculated, %: C 77.22; H 10.67.

(1R,4R,4aR,8aR)-4-Isopropyl-1-methyl-6methylidenedecahydronaphthalen-1-yl acetate (III). $R_{\rm f}$ 0.89 (hexane–EtOAc, 10:1). ¹H NMR spectrum (CDCl₃), δ , ppm: 0.82 d (3H, CH₃, J = 6.9 Hz), 0.88 d (3H, CH₃, J = 6.9 Hz), 1.02 m (1H, CH), 1.28–1.50 m (3H, CH, CH₂), 1.58–1.62 m (2H, CH, CH₂), 1.68 s (3H, CH₃), 1.83 m (2H, CH₂), 1.78–1.86 m (5H, CH, CH₂), 1.97 s (3H, CH₃), 2.34 m (1H, CH), 4.63 d (1H, $C=CH_2$, J=2.1 Hz), 4.78 d.d (1H, $C=CH_2$, J=2.1, 1.6 Hz). ¹³C NMR spectrum (CDCl₃), δ_C , ppm: 15.07 (CH_3) , 22.37 (CH_3) , 22.56 (CH_3) , 22.67 (C^3) , 23.35 (CH_3) , 25.92 (C^8) , 28.42 (C^7) , 27.11 (C^{4a}) , 38.92 (C^5) , 41.24 (C²), 46.53 (C^{8a}), 55.13 (C⁴), 84.81 (C¹), 112.42 (=CH₂), 147.30 (C⁶), 170.31 (C=O). Found, %: C 77.19; H 10.66. C₁₇H₂₈O₂. Calculated, %: C 77.22; H 10.67.

Hydrolysis of acetoxycadinol II. *a*. Compound II, 0.100 g (0.45 mmol), was added to a solution of 0.20 g of sodium hydroxide in 3 ml of a 2:1 ethanol–water mixture. After 15 h, the mixture was neutralized with 5% hydrochloric acid, and the alcohol was distilled off on a rotary evaporator. The residue was extracted with ethyl acetate (3×3 ml), the extracts were combined, washed with a saturated solution of sodium chloride (3×3 ml), and dried over MgSO₄, the solvent was distilled off, and the residue was subjected to chromatography to isolate 0.042 g (50%) of (+)-δ-cadinol (I) and 0.027 g (27%) of acetoxycadinol II.

b. Compound II, 0.100 g (0.45 mmol), was dissolved in 3 ml of methanol, and a solution of 0.025 g (0.05 mmol) of sodium methoxide in 5 ml of methanol was added. When the reaction was complete (TLC), the mixture was neutralized with 3% hydrochloric acid, methanol was distilled off under reduced pressure, and the products were extracted from the residue into ethyl acetate (3×5 ml). The extracts were combined, dried over MgSO₄, and evaporated, and the residue was separated by chromatography to isolate 0.025 g (30%) of (+)- δ -cadinol (I) and 0.062 g (62%) of acetoxycadinol II.

(1R,4R,4aS,7R,8aR)- and (1R,4R,4aS,7S,8aR)-7-Hydroxy-4-isopropyl-1,6-dimethyl-1,2,3,4,4a,7,8,8a-octahydronaphthalen-1-yl acetates (VI/VII). Selenium dioxide, 0.05 g (0.45 mmol), was added to a solution of 0.130 g (0.49 mmol) of acetoxycadinol II in a mixture of 1 ml of acetic acid and 0.3 ml of acetic anhydride. When the reaction was complete (TLC), ethyl acetate was added, and the mixture was poured onto ice. The mixture was filtered, and the filtrate was extracted with ethyl acetate (3×2 ml). The extracts

were combined, washed with water $(3 \times 10 \text{ ml})$, and dried over MgSO₄. The solvent was distilled off, the residue was subjected to hydrolysis as described above (method *a*), and the products were isolated by chromatography. Yield 0.097 g (71%), **VI:VII** ratio 1:2. The (7*R*)-diastereoisomer was isolated as individual substance.

(7*R*)-Diastereoisomer. R_f 0.25 (hexane–EtOAc, 3:1), mp 147°C, [α]_D²⁶ = +4° (c = 1.0, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR spectrum (CD₂Cl₂), δ, ppm: 0.80 d (3H, CH₃, J = 6.9 Hz), 0.86 d (3H, CH₃, J = 6.9 Hz), 1.08–1.25 m (2H, CH, CH₂), 1.37–1.53 m (1H, CH₂), 1.57 s (3H, CH₃), 1.58–1.67 m (4H, CH, CH₂), 1.74 d.d (3H, CH₃, J = 1.4, 1.3 Hz), 1.80–1.94 m (2H, CH, CH₂), 1.95 s (3H, CH₃), 2.02 m (1H, CH), 2.51 m (1H, 8a-H, J = 13.6 Hz), 3.88 d.d (1H, 7-H, J = 4.3, 1.3 Hz), 5.69 d.d (1H, 5-H, J = 5.8, 1.4 Hz). ¹³C NMR spectrum (CD₂Cl₂), δ_C, ppm: 15.39 (CH₃), 20.90 (C³), 21.08 (CH₃), 21.57 (CH₃), 22.55 (CH₃), 23.15 (CH₃), 27.26 (CHMe₂), 29.0 (C⁸), 32.84 (C²), 36.87 (C^{4a}), 37.22 (C^{8a}), 42.94 (C⁴), 68.50 (C⁷), 84.62 (C¹), 128.59 (C⁵), 135.43 (C⁶), 170.46 (C=O). Found, %: C 72.78; H 10.04. C₁₇H₂₈O₂. Calculated, %: C 72.82; H 10.06.

(7*S*)-Diastereoisomer [from (7*R*/7*S*)-epimer mixture]. R_f 0.32 (hexane–EtOAc, 3:1). ¹H NMR spectrum (CD₂Cl₂), δ, ppm: 0.79 d (3H, CH₃, J = 6.9 Hz), 0.88 d (3H, CH₃, J = 6.9 Hz), 1.52 s (3H, CH₃), 1.69 s (3H, CH₃), 1.95 s (3H, CH₃), 2.43 m (1H, CH₂), 3.88 d.d (1H, 7-H, J = 4.5, 1.7 Hz), 5.59 d.d (1H, 5-H, J = 5.7, 1.5 Hz). ¹³C NMR spectrum (CD₂Cl₂), δ_C, ppm: 19.28 (CH₃), 20.87 (CH₃), 21.30 (CH₃), 21.50 (C³), 22.54 (CH₃), 26.97 (CHMe₂), 29.92 (C⁸), 32.81 (C²), 36.83 (C^{4a}), 37.17 (C^{8a}), 41.79 (C⁴), 71.68 (C⁷), 84.27 (C¹), 128.03 (C⁵), 137.21 (C⁶), 170.40 (C=O).

(1aS,3aR,4S,7R,7aR,7bR)- and (1aR,3aR,4S,7R,-7aR,7bS)-7-Isopropyl-1a,4-dimethyldecahydronaphtho[1,2-b]oxiran-4-vl acetates (VIII/IX). m-Chloroperoxybenzoic acid, 0.285 g (1.6 mmol), was added to a solution of 0.140 g (0.53 mmol) of acetoxycadinol II in 2 ml of chloroform. When the reaction was complete (TLC), 2 ml of water was added to the mixture, and the products were extracted into chloroform (3×3 ml). The extracts were combined, washed with a 2% solution of sodium hydrogen carbonate and a solution of sodium chloride, and dried over MgSO₄. The solvent was distilled off on a rotary evaporator, and the residue was subjected by chromatography on silica gel to isolate 0.097 g (65.5%) of a mixture of epimeric epoxy derivatives VIII and IX at a ratio of 3:2. The (1aS,7bR)-diastereoisomer was isolated as individual substance.

(1aS,7bR)-Diastereoisomer VIII. R_1 0.33 (hexane–EtOAc, 10:1), $[\alpha]_D^{26} = +10.88^{\circ}$ (c = 1.0, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR spectrum (CDCl₃), δ , ppm: 0.85 d (3H, CH₃, J = 6.9 Hz), 0.96 d (3H, CH₃, J = 6.9 Hz), 1.10–1.25 m (4H, 7-H, 6-H, 5-H), 1.31 s (3H, 1a-CH₃), 1.43–1.52 m (1H, 2-H), 1.54 s (3H, CH₃), 1.60–1.77 m (3H, 2-H, 3-H, 7-H), 1.98 s (3H, CH₃), 1.80–2.08 m (2H, 3-H, 7a-H), 2.08 m (1H, Me₂CH), 2.18 m (1H, 5-H), 3.04 d (1H, 7b-H, J = 5.6 Hz). ¹³C NMR spectrum (CDCl₃), δ _C, ppm: 15.53 (CH₃), 16.79 (C⁶), 19.72 (C³), 21.52 (CH₃), 22.37 (CH₃), 22.58 (CH₃), 23.07 (CHMe₂), 27.29 (4-CH₃), 31.64 (C²), 32.36 (C⁵), 34.42 (C^{7a}), 37.87 (C^{3a}), 42.48 (C⁷), 59.38 (C^{1a}), 62.17 (C^{7b}), 84.56 (C⁴), 170.26 (C=O). Found, %: C 72.74; H 10.11. C_{1.7}H₂₈O₃, Calculated, %: C 72.82; H 10.06.

(1aR,7bS)-Diastereoisomer IX [from (1aS,7bR)/(1aR,7bS)-epimer mixture]. $R_{\rm f}$ 0.20 (hexane–EtOAc, 10:1). ¹H NMR spectrum (CD₂Cl₂), δ, ppm: 0.83 d (3H, CH₃, J = 6.9 Hz), 0.92 d (3H, CH₃, J = 6.9 Hz), 1.12–1.20 m (4H, CH, CH₂), 1.22 s (3H, CH₃), 1.22–1.47 m (2H, CH, CH₂), 1.49 s (3H, CH₃), 1.50–1.98 m (3H, CH), 1.92 s (3H, CH₃CO), 1.98–2.13 m (3H, CH, CH₂), 2.94 d (1H, 7b-H, J = 5.6 Hz). ¹³C NMR spectrum (CD₂Cl₂), δ_C, ppm: 15.30 (CH₃), 17.47 (C⁶), 21.31 (C³), 21.70 (CH₃), 22.51 (CH₃), 23.25 (CH₃),

27.55 (CHMe₂), 28.24 (CH₃), 31.68 (C²), 35.78 (C⁵), 38.29 (C^{7a}), 38.61 (C³), 43.00 (C⁷), 58.13 (C^{1a}), 62.75 (C^{7b}), 84.83 (C⁴), 170.41 (C=O).

(1S,4S,5R,8S,9R)-5-Isopropyl-2,8-dimethyl-11-oxatricyclo[6.2.1.0^{4,9}]undec-2-ene (X) was synthesized by the procedure described in [1]. Yield 62%.

This study was performed under financial support by the "Research Partnership" International Foundation.

REFERENCES

- 1. Valeev, F.A., Tsypysheva, I.P., Kunakova, A.M., Krasnoslobodtseva, O.Yu., Shitikova, O.V., Spirikhin, L.V., and Tolstikov, G.A., *Russ. J. Org. Chem.*, 2004, vol. 40, p. 337.
- 2. Pentegova, V.A. and Dubovenko, Zh.V., *Izv. Sib. Otd. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 1968, vol. 12, p. 110.
- 3. Hagemeyer, H.J. and Hull, D.C., *Ind. Eng. Chem.*, 1949, vol. 41, p. 2920.
- 4. Kunakova, A.M., Tsypysheva, I.P., Shitikova, O.V., Spirikhin, L.V., Valeev, F.A., and Tolstikov, G.A., *Khim. Prirodn. Soedin.*, 2002, no. 2, p. 129.
- Valeev, F.A., Tsypysheva, I.P., Kunakova, A.M., and Tolstikov, G.A., *Dokl. Ross. Akad. Nauk*, 2002, vol. 382, p. 781.